

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,830	10/18/2001	Jun Tian	P0468 1787		
23735 DIGIMARC C	7590 05/09/2007 CORPORATION		EXAMINER		
9405 SW GEN			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
BEAVERTON, OR 97008			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/035,830		TIAN, JUN	
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Venkat Per	ungavoor	2132	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will ute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION tt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the station to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is no vance except f	or formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-45</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) <u>10-45</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from con			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2005</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ acc ne drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been ents have been riority documer eau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application of the traceive 17.2(a))	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/035,830

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

As a result of the pre-appeal brief request, the instant application was re-opened. And after an updated search, the Examiner has found new art that reads on the limitations. The new grounds of rejections are as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6671407 to Venkatesan et al.(hereinafter Venkatesan).

Regarding Claim 1 and 4, Venkatesan discloses the compression of first image see Col 9 Ln 15-24; embedding the compressed first media signal into the second media signal see Col 5 Ln 20-31; where the first and second media signals are content objects and are perceptually similar¹ see Col 3 Ln 26-29 & Col 3 Ln 46-53(where the images are detected for piracy by comparing the hashes of images) & Col 4 Ln 47-58(where the watermarked images are compared for piracy).

¹ The term perceptually similar is being defined as the images having the same hash value see Specifications page 12 Ln 1.

0

Art Unit: 2132

√P Claim 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6674874 to Yoshida et al.(hereinafter Yoshida).

Regarding Claim 5 and 7, Yoshida discloses decoding the signal that is imperceptibly embedded into the signal see Col 15 Ln 11-24; comparing the hash value calculated with the value stored for authentication see Col 15 Ln 35-44; the embedding of hash values into host signal, where the hash is a function of image see Col 12 Ln 59- Col 13 Ln 15 & Col 13 Ln 49-65.

Regarding Claims 8 and 9, Yoshida discloses the authentication of blocks using previous blocks see Col 12 Ln 40-54.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-45 are allowed as indicated in the previous action. The reasons for allowance will not be repeated here for sake of brevity.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See Notice of Reference Cited

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NP/ Venkat Perungavoor Examiner Art Unit 2132 May 2, 2007